

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 08/866,354 | 05/30/1997 | GARY M. FOX | A-401B | 9711 | |
| 21069 75 | 590 08/16/2002 | | | | |
| AMGEN INCORPORATED | | | EXAMINER | | |
| MAIL STOP 27 | 7-4-A CENTER DRIVE | | HAYES, ROBE | IAYES, ROBERT CLINTON | |
| | OAKS, CA 91320-1799 | | | | |
| 11100511115 | Ortico, Ort 71320-1777 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1647 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002 | 17 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/866,354

Applicant(s)

Fox et al

Examiner

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1647**



| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Feiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on - 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will exply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| _ | |
| 4) X Claim(s) 75-87 is/are pending in the application. | |
| | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration | n. |
| 5) 💢 Claim(s) 75, 77, 79, 81, and 84-86 is/are allowed. | |
| 6) 💢 Claim(s) 76, 78, 80, 82, 83, and 87 is/are rejected. | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | |
| 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement | ıt. |
| Application Papers | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Exami | ner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | |
| 13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) . All b) . Some* c) . None of: | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | |
| a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | |

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 76, 78, 80, 82-83 & 87 and the suspension due to a potential interference are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Klein et al. (U.S. Patent 6,372,453). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 75, 77, 79, 81 & 84-86 remain allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged. However, the provisional application upon which priority is claimed fails to provide adequate support under 35 U.S.C. 112 for claims 75-87 of this application for SEQ ID Nos: 35-42. Therefore, the priority date is deemed to be 5/30/97.

ž -- 🚴

Art Unit: 1647

Claims 76, 78, 80, 82-83 & 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klein et al. (U.S. Patent 6,372,453).

Klein disclose a polynucleotide encoding Cys-36 through Cys-417 of SEQ ID NO:40 (i.e., Klein's SEQ ID NO:6; cols. 5 & 77-79; as it relates to claims 76). In that Klein also discloses vectors, mammalian (e.g., COS-7) and bacterial (e.g., E. coli) host cells, and methods of producing the neurotrophic receptor protein of SEQ ID NO:6 (i.e., cols. 9-10 & 14-24), the limitations of claims 78, 80, 82-83 & 87 are met.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 305-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and alternate Fridays, from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

May d. Lung Soof Act UNIT 1647

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

August 15, 2002